Experimental assessment of screen bias in an early Arctic air temperature time Series

Jason _ Bak¹, Daniel _ Hosseinian¹, Kevin R. Wood^{2*} and Richard _ Kurtz¹

Submitted to:

2 Soon 2015

¹CommackHigh School, 1 Scholar Lane, Commack, NY11725

²University of Washington, Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, 3737 Brooklyn Ave NE, Seattle, WA 98105

Key points:

Screen bias is assessed for an Arctic air temperature time series from the mid 19th-century

Abstract

Historical surface air temperature records used to reconstruct extended time series for climate studies often lack sufficient metadata to evaluate sources of bias in the recorded data. Occasionally, it is possible to reproduce the original environment to the extent that an objective measure of bias can be obtained and correction factors can be determined to increase the data's accuracy. There exists a large collection of unanalyzed hourly air temperature data from September 1852 to July 1854 taken near Point Barrow, Alaska by officers of the HMS Plover using a thermometer encased in a radiation screen. This data set is especially valuable due to it being recorded extensively in a time and place where data are sparse. This location is only 3 km northeast from the present day location of a NOAA observatory recording meteorological measurements, presenting the opportunity to assess bias of the historical data in comparison to modern data. For this investigation, a platinum resistance thermometer inside a previously constructed replica of the *Plover* radiation screen was placed adjacent to the observatory's sensors. Henceforth, surface air temperature time series were obtained from both the replica's and observatory's sensors. Data show that relative magnitudes of the biases associated with the Plover's radiation screen are on average 0.35 °C and up to 2 °C, generally varying by the sun exposure of the screen. These seemingly small inaccuracies are significant in the context of climate change, which is on the scale of a few degrees. Our results indicate screen bias is very

large and therefore the interpretation of this and similar Arctic historical temperature data first require correction factors to be implemented to the data set.

Acknowledgements

We are deeply grateful to Dr. Kevin Wood for his valued mentorship in our research. Data was collected at the Barrow, Alaska Observatory (BRW). We extend our thanks to the staff at the BRW for installing and maintaining all meteoroidal equipment used for data collection.

We thank Technimetal Precision Industries Corporation located in Hauppauge, New York for constructing the replica *Plover* thermometer screen. Last, but not least, we thank Mr. Richard Kurtz and Ms. Jeanette Collette of the Commack School District for their help and encouragement throughout our research.

Index terms:

3309 Climatology, 3305 Climate change and variability, 3349 Polar meteorology,

3394 Instruments and techniques, 9315 Arctic region

Keywords:

Arctic change, meteorological records, history, exploration, Alaska

Table of Contents

Introduction	4-6
Materials and Methods	7-9
Results	9-12
Conclusions and Future Works	13
References	14

TEXT

1.Introduction

Significant sea-ice retreats like those of the Arctic in 2007 (Lindsay et al., 2009) and recent unusual meteorology highlight the sensitivity of the Arctic to climate change and its potential as an omen of future global climate change (Rothrock et al., 1999). Recent economic developments have also accelerated efforts to collect data and study environmental impacts (Streever et al., 2011). To discern abnormal climate patterns from infrequent but typical fluctuations, historical data is needed to compare with current measurements. Sources of historical weather data include logbooks and journals of scientists and sailors who have recorded climatic and environmental conditions encountered during travels (e.g Maguire, 1988). Climate studies that depend on long-term baseline data motivate interest in recovering sparse historical data.

Due to the Arctic's remoteness and low population density there is a small quantity of historical weather data that can be used to help conduct inter-comparison studies relating modern data with old data (Steele et al., 2008). Although in the past century, exploration has been accelerated for commercial benefits, more observations have contributed to the understanding of the Arctic's geography than its climate. Therefore, meteorological and oceanographic data collected in the Arctic region first began being recorded on a relatively consistent basis in the 1900s (Serreze & Barry, 2005). Additionally, in the middle of the 19th century, Britain's navy mandated a system of extensive data collection, thus resulting in fairly reliable Arctic weather data such as the data from the HMS *Plover* (Brohan et al., 2009).

Older historical data are of great interest but are also of unknown quality and often lack the metadata needed to evaluate them (Vincent & Gullet, 1999). This is an issue when attempting to compare and interpret these data in the context of modern measurements because historical data were generally recorded using different types of equipment than in use today which may result in inaccurate measurements.

Hourly air temperature observations obtained by the HMS *Plover* provide an excellent case study. The *Plover* was anchored at Point Barrow, Alaska, between 1852 and 1854 (Figure 1), as part of the Royal Navy's search for the lost Arctic expedition lead by Sir John Franklin. While there, Dr. Simpson recorded air temperature measurements hourly with an alcohol thermometer, mounted on a post 90 feet away from the ship to distance the instrumentation from the impacts of the ship (SIMPSON JOURNAL, OFFICIAL REF TO COME LATER). The data from the *Plover* is of great interest in light of modern-day climate change in the Arctic, but a common problem that must be confronted when utilizing historical weather data, is that



Figure 1: Satellite image of Barrow, Alaska Observatory and approximate location of HMS Plover winter quarters (Source: Google Earth)

differences in observation methods and instruments make comparisons with modern data difficult. The *Plover's* thermometer was installed in a non-standard radiation screen, and the effects of this screen on the temperature measurements are not known and therefore, the readings are susceptible to unknown bias caused by the screen. Air temperature measurements must be made 'in the shade' and the purpose of a radiation screen is to prevent the sun from shining directly on the thermometer while allowing the free exchange of ambient air. However, different screen designs accomplish this purpose with varying results. Therefore, determining the bias of the screen design in use is a critical step before comparing historical and modern air temperature data.

Screen bias is not only a concern for historical Arctic data; it should be taken into account anywhere there was a replacement of antiquated shelters by automatic weather stations or Stevenson screens (Nordli et al., 1997). A previous study by Brunet et al. (2009) highlighted that data uncorrected for screen bias will provide results that incorrectly estimate the long term rate of temperature change.

In this study, the problem of screen bias was investigated by collecting two years of parallel data using identical platinum resistance thermometers (Resistance Thermocouple Devices, RTDs), deployed side-by-side at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Observatory in Barrow, Alaska. One RTD was installed in a replica screen built according to the design described by the *Plover's* surgeon (and science officer) (Simpson 1858), and the other was the observatory's standard RTD in an aspirated screen (i.e. with fan-driven air exchange). The daily means of the thermometer readings were analyzed for screen bias. This investigation has revealed clear patterns of bias throughout the two years; the *Plover* RTD recorded lower readings than the standard RTD during winter months, while recording higher

readings during summer months. Temperature readings are inaccurate by an average of 0.35°C. In the context of climate change, where a change of a couple of degrees Celsius is a drastic change, this inaccuracy is significant (Voiland, 2009).

2. Materials and Methods

Thermometer Screen

The replicated thermometer screen was constructed to emulate the HMS Plover's bias as closely as possible. For this study, a replica of the HMS *Plover's* thermometer screen was constructed based on the description by Simpson (1858), where the specific details about the dimensions, materials, color, and special features of the thermometer screen are provided. These descriptions were used to create schematics of the thermometer screen (Figure 2a) that were used by a sheet metal fabrication company to construct the replica thermometer screen (Figure 2b).

Barrow, Alaska Setup

The replica thermometer screen was sent to the NOAA Observatory, located about 2 km southeast from the shore of the Arctic Ocean at Barrow, Alaska at coordinates: 71°32'30"N 156°61'14"W (Figure 1). The observatory is ~3 km southwest from the approximate location of *HMS Plover* winter quarters in Elson Lagoon.



A modern day thermometer (Logan Enterprises Model 4159 Resistance Thermocouple Device (RTD)) was housed in the replica thermometer screen. This RTD recorded air temperature alongside the standard RTD of the same model at 2 m above ground level (Figure 3). Wind and barometric pressure were recorded at 10 m above ground level. These variables were analyzed to determine their impact on the temperature readings from the Plover RTD, therefore providing insight on how atmospheric conditions relate to screen bias. All data were collected at one-minute intervals from November 10, 2011, to the current day. Data collected in 2012 and 2013 have been used for this study.



Figure 3: HMS *Plover* replica screen and 2 meter standard installation at the NOAA Barrow Observatory.

Without adequate air flow, solar radiation from the sun would have warmed the exposed RTD, therefore biasing the readings for actual temperature with which were compared the Plover RTD readings with. However, an AC fan properly aerated the inside of the exposed RTD. Air was pulled in at the bottom of the housing, past the RTD,

and finally pushed out at through the top of the replica thermometer screen.

The location of data collection is optimal because it is close to the area of harsh environmental conditions that the thermometer used on the HMS *Plover* was exposed to. Similar to the environment the HMS *Plover* was stationed in, the NOAA observatory at Barrow, Alaska has an Arctic maritime climate affected by the variations of weather and sea ice conditions of the Arctic. The prevailing east-northeast wind off the Beaufort Sea at the observatory provides constant measurements of wind speed and wind direction (Carmack & Kulikov, 1998).

For this study, one data point was recorded every minute for two years. Each data point included the temperature readings of the two RTDs, wind speed and atmospheric pressure.

Computer Program and Data Analysis

A computer program was coded in Java to organize the data to facilitate analysis. The data were downloaded off an FTP database and then, using Microsoft command prompt, transformed into Excel files (.xls) from text files (Figure 4). From the Excel files the data were able to be selectively extracted with a second Java program using the JXL API.

To determine if there is a significant difference between meteorological and air temperature difference between the two RTDs, a two-tailed Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) tests were done.

Called - Notepad		.	-	-	Sec. 4		A case of	-		-	-	-	-	74.		- 8 -	6	1000	• • • •	-		-		1
Startin #100 (Derres acta) 2014 and 71m 100,2012 7159 100 101,102,2012 7159 100 101,102,2012 7050 100 101,102,2012 7050 100 101,102,2012 7050 100 101,102,2012 7050 100 101,2012 7050 100 100,2012 7050 100 100,2000 1000 10000000000000000000000000	 Kasel (rec Observer): 20 Date 127(1/28)1 	(410°), 842 *15% * 11m GP 0.01:00 99 0.02:00 99 0.02:00 99 0.01:00 99 0.01:00 99 0.05:00 99 0.05:00 99 0.05:00 99 0.05:00 99 0.05:00 99	Observat Date Date Source Source	ary 59 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	L Tiam 00 9200 00 9200	w1 rd 9049 9049 9049 9049 9049 9049 9049 904	10000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 500		0 0frectiv 341 336 336 349 343 348 348 348 348 356 337	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Summary and the second	411 2m 93999 93999 93999 93999 93999 93999 93999 93999 93999 93999 93999	51.d. 4 99999 99999 99999 99999 99999 99999 9999	rind Dir 9955 9559 9559 9559 9559 9559 9559 95	2m 9235 9225 9399 9399 9399 9393 9393 9393	ufrid 2p+ 995.4 998.5 998.5 998.5 998.5 998.4 998.4 998.4 998.4 998.4 998.4		Home Insert	Calibri	Layout For	mulas Di	ata Revi	ew Viev	v T
1/81/2612 0:09 199 5/87/2012 0:10 199 1/82/2012 0:11 199	12/1/1911 12/1/1911 12/1/1911	0:10:00 99 0:11:00 99 0:12:00 99	20 0000 20 0000 20 0000	00 00 00 00	04 0999 04 0999 93 9999	99999 99999 99999	999		354 352 348	4.4	ALCONO.	0000	9990 9990 9990	99999 99999 99999	0000	998.4 998.5 998.5	P	🗧 🍼 Format Painter	BI	Ū - I⊞ - I	On - A -		[译 译	E Me
1/81/2012 0.11 100 3/31/2012 0.14 199 3/31/2012 0.15 199	12/5/1911 52/1/1911 12/1/1911	0114100 99 0115100 99 0116100 99	19 9194 19 9194 29 9195	99 99 99	00 0000 03 0000 09 0000	99255 99995 99995	592 992 595		143 148 354	4.3 4.3	121	0999 9999 9999	9999 9999 9999	99998 99999 99999	0099 0993 0993	938.5 938.5 938.5	5	Clipboard	<u>ii</u> .	Font	T\$		Alignm	ent
1/0/2012 0.16 199 1/0/2012 0.17 199 1/0/2012 0.18 199	12/1/1911 12/3/1911 12/1/1911 12/1/1911	0:17:00 99 0:18:00 99 0:19:00 99	79 9194 29 9994 29 9994	00 00 00	94 9999 93 9999 93 9999 93 9999	9999	999		158 368 180	4.2	1	9999 9999 9999	9999 9999 9999	99999	9999 9999 9999	998.4 998.4 998.4		L29	• (*	<i>f</i> x 156				
1/11/2012 0.20 199 1/11/2012 0.21 199 1/11/2012 0.21 199 1/11/2012 0.22 199	12/1/19/1 12/1/18/1 12/1/19/1	0.21.00 99 0.22100 99 0.23100 99	xa 9000 xa 9000 xa 9000	99	93 9999 93 9999 93 9999	01020 91020 91020	999 999 999	4.4	345 130 135	4.5 4.5	-	0000 0000 0000	9999 9999 9999	9905 9905 9909	0999 9999 9999	998.4 998.4 998.4	X	A	В	С	D	Ε	F	G
1/11/2012 0/23 100 1/11/2012 0/24 199 1/11/2012 0/25 199	12/1/1911 12/1/1911 12/1/1911	0:25:00 99 0:25:00 99	93 9994 99 9994 99 9994	99	93 9999 93 9999 93 9999	9999 9999 9999	999		155 154 358	4.4	-	9999 9999 9999	9999 9999 9999	9999 9999	9999 9999	998.4 998.4 998.4	1	Station #199 (Barro	w Baselin	e Observator	y),Baseline	Observato	ry	
1/11/2012 0:26 199 1/11/2012 0:27 199 1/11/2012 0:28 199	12/1/1911	0.28100 99	70 9707 70 9500 70 9500	100		0000	1010	12	118 111 143	44.4	1	0000	99999	5000	0000	998.4 998.1 998.1	2	Local Date and Tim	Unit ID	Date	Time	GPS Date	GPS Time	Wind
1/11/2012 0/10 199 1/11/2012 0/10 199 1/11/2012 0/11 199	12/1/1911 12/1/1910 12/1/1910	0.11.00 99	20 0201 20 9201	12 2 2	01 0000 01 0700	*1911 91921	9999		345 352	1.2		0000 0000	9999	99999	0099	998.1 998.1	3	1/30/2012 23:59	199	12/1/1931	0:00:00	9999	9999	9
1/11/2012 0.13 194 1/21/2012 0.34 194 1/21/2012 0.34 194	12/1/1901 12/1/1901 12/1/1901	0.34.00 99 0.15:00 99 0.16:00 99	12 USU 12 USU 13 USU	50	05 0200 05 0200 05 0200	9999	599 999		156 156 160	4.9	1	0000	9999	9900	9999 9999	998, 5 998, 5 998, 5	4	1/31/2012 0:00	199	12/1/1931	0:01:00	9999	9999	9
1/11/2012 0.36 199 1/11/2012 0.37 199 1/11/2012 0.38 199	12/1/1911 12/1/1911 12/1/1911	0:37:00 99 0:38:00 99 0:38:00 99	ra (rana) 23 (rana) 29 (rana)	222	00 3000 00 8000 00 8000	0000 0000	999 9991 8997	4.2	160 159 159	5.5	-	9999 9999	99999 99999 89999	99999 99999 99999	0999 0999 0999	998.5 998.5 998.5	5	1/31/2012 0:01	199	12/1/1931	0:02:00	9999	9999	9
1/11/2012 0:39 199 1/11/2012 0:40 199 1/11/2012 0:41 199	12/3/1941 12/2/1941 12/2/1941	0:40:00 99 0:41:00 99 0:42:00 99	15 0000 10 0000 19 0000	92 92 92	48 9999 98 9999 98 9999	9999	999	4.4	158 152 149	4.8 4.3 8.1	1	9999 99999 99999	3999 99999 99999	9995 9999 9999	9999 9999 9999	998.5 998.5 998.5	6	1/31/2012 0:02	199	12/1/1931	0:03:00	9999	9999	9
1/31/2012 0:42 199 1/31/2012 0:43 199 1/31/2012 0:44 199	12/1/1941 17/1/1941 12/1/1941	0:43:00 99 0:44:00 99 0:45:00 99	20 0000 20 0000 20 0000	92 92 92	00 8000 00 8000 90 8000			3.7	548 355 354	4.3	1	0000	9999 9999	9999 9999 9999	9999 9999	995.6 998.6 998.6	7	1/31/2012 0:03	199	12/1/1931	0:04:00	9999	9999	9
1/11/2012 0:45 199 1/11/2012 0:46 199 1/11/2012 0:47 199	12/1/1911 12/1/1911 12/1/1911	0146100 99 0147100 99 0148100 99	10 01000 10 01000 10 01000	00 00 00	93 9299 91 9299 93 9299			1.1	165 165 166	4.4	4	9999 9999	99999 99999 89999	22228	9999 9999 9999	928.5 928.5 928.1	8	1/31/2012 0:04	199	12/1/1931	0:05:00	9999	9999	9
E estates	· 100		-							-							9	1/31/2012 0:05	199	12/1/1931	0:06:00	9999	9999	9
+ + C Blev	C/Users/Daniel/D	esktop/Piover/laa	Testba		-									- 10		4 0	10	1/31/2012 0:06	199	12/1/1931	0:07:00	9999	9999	9
Ination #199 (Barrow Local Date and Time	Banelies Choserusto DAIS 20 Data	Time drs Dete	inatory of	i tine	. Adve			S.res Time	24	and dails of	e 514.	stad pile	24	sand in		idia .	11	1/31/2012 0:07	199	12/1/1931	0:08:00	9999	9999	9
Va.AT baller ATD 2 1/36/2012 21:19 100	8 870 10s 870 20s 32/2/2818	Princip 1 cipcide 1000	Hond Speed Pracip 2 1010 30	200 AU 10 AU	und Strection attery Volta NVN 10000	4+ 12 1000	3.1	al free 141	Planer R	1 D17 200	1 1000	0008	1000	101.4	104.3	-12.3	12	1/31/2012 0:08	199	12/1/1931	0:09:00	9999	9999	9
-42.5 8099 8098 1/53/3812 0.00 299	-40 0000 12/3/8935 -46.1 8986	-39.3 \$559 6:81.36 \$559 -32.4 \$559	90909 53 90909 349 90904 53	4 ¥	125.1 148 1958	-40.5	3.8	276				++++	****	106.4	\$96.9	-42.4	13	1/31/2012 0:09	199	12/1/1931	0:10:00	9999	9999	9
1/31/3012 0:01 500 -42.4 0005 9009	12/1/1898 -40.1 9999	0-82-08 9909 -35-4 8999	90900 83 90900 83	1 1	100 9009 -25-	-45.4		100	4.5	***		0000		196.5	108.0	142.4		1/31/2012 0:10	199	12/1/1931	0:11:00	9999	9999	9
-42.5 8999 8998 1/51/3812 4149 339	12/2/2018 12/2/2018 12/2/2018	-36.3 8000 8:84.86 9935	0000 L1 0000 10	4 4	·21.1		4,3	140	4.5			1010		110.5	106.5	-42.9		/2012 0:11	199	12/1/1931	0:12:00	9999	9999	9
-42.5 0101 0000 1/51/3012-0-04 200 -42.4 0400 0000	-40 9088 12/1/1938 -40 5989	-25 8908 8-85-08 6908	0000 13 00000 00 00000 13		125-1 988 9009 125-1	- 40.4	4,5	349	4.7			****		101.5	106.9	-42.7		/2012 0:12	199	12/1/1931	0:13:00	9999	9999	0
1/31/3812 8188 339 -82.4 3939 3099	33/1/0978 -80 0999	6:00.00 8000 -58.7 9000	1000 10 1000 17	3.3	100 0000	-40.5	4.4	248	4.7			****		100.4	101.5			1/2012 0:13	199	12/1/1931	0:14:00	9999	9999	
-42.5 9998 8999 1/31/2012 0/07 205	-80 9900 12/3/3938	-38.8 8000 8:05:08 0000	10110 LD		-21-1	-40.4	4.2	210	4.4			1010		110.4	196.4	-14		1 31/2012 0:14	199	12/1/1931	0:15:00	9999	9999	4
-42.4 5401 9599 4/31/2012 0.08 039 -42.5 2000 0000	12/1/1878 12/1/1878	-38.8 9999 6(25-89 9999 -38.7 8599	9909 13 9099 10 9099 10	1	125.1	-40.5	4.3	\$57	4.4	1 800		****		110.4	104.4	-41.1	19	1/31/2012 0:15	199	12/1/1931	0.16.00	9999	9999	-
1/11/2012 0-09 209	12/3/2021 -m0.1 9999	0-20-00 9999 -39 9999	9009 80 9009 13		-25.1	09922	4.2	334	**			++++		998.A	198.5	-42.4	20	1/21/2012 0:15	199	12/1/193	0.17.00	9999	9999	-
-42.4 1995 1999 1/91/3012 0:11 199	-340.2 9980 33/3/1998	-30.4 8999 0132189 8999	10100 10	3 3	-25.3	-40.4		144	4.5					100.5	104.5	-42.8	20	1/21/2012 0.17	100	12/1/103	0.10.00	0000	0000	
-42.4 2009 1000 1/11/2012 0:12 209 -42.5 10000 0000	-40.5 9988 12/1/2016	-30.5 8500 0.11.00 8800 -30.8 8500	9998 L3 9998 23 9998 13		-25-1 999 9955 -25-1	9905	4.3	242	4.5			****		198.5	194.5	-42.7	21	1/31/2012 0.17	100	12/1/193	0.10.00	0000	0000	-
1/51/2012 8:13 339 -42.4 3999 3999	12/2/2938 -40.4 2000	0-56.00 0999 -30.0 0000	4040 10 1000 13	10 10 A 17	-25.1	0000	4.3	162	4.3			0000		888.5	105.0	-42.8	22	1/31/2012 0:18	199	12/1/193	0:19:00	9999	5555	-
-42.8 \$999 1019 1/30/2012 4121 109	-40.5 8999 12/1/1991	-39,7 8999 4156.000 9999	4000 E3 6000 F3	2 8 10	125.1	-42.4	4.1	254	4.5			1010		218.5	104.0	-42.8	25	1/31/2012 0:19	199	12/1/193	0:20:00	9999	3333	
-42.6 9999 8999 1/31/2812 8:28 139 -42.9 8399 8999	-40.5 9990 32/2/2938 -40.5 9990	-25.5 8000 0-37-00 8930 -30.3 8005	0000 13 0000 15	7 8	+21.3 989 9999 -25.1	- 40.4 9909 - 40.4	4.2	258	44					100.4	105.5	-42.8	24	1/31/2012 0:20	199	12/1/1931	0:21:00	3333	3333	-
1/31/2012 0/37 134 -43.9 9999 9999 1/31/2012 8/38 199	12/2/2008 -40.5 0000 13/1/2008	2-12-20 0000 -30.7 0000 0.10-00 0000	0.000 00 9.000 13 0.000 00		100 0000 -25-1	9808 -82,4 0000	3,8	384	4.1			****		110.4	908.9 996.9	-42.8	25	1/31/2012 0:21	199 Choot 22	12/1/1931	0:22:00	9999	59999 Chaot22	Check

Figure 4: Data conversation for weather data collected throughout 2012-2013 at the NOAAObservatory at Barrow, Alaska. The upper-left window represents the original data on the FTP database. The bottom-left window represents the data after being converted to a .txt file format in Notepad. The right window represents the data after being converted into a .xls file.

3. Results



Figure 5b (right): Temperature difference (°C) of Plover RTD and RTD 2m against wind speed (m/s) **Table 1 (bottom):** Absolute average temperature between the exposed and *Plover* RTD inaccuracies at different wind speed intervals at 10 m.

Wind speed affects the bias of the *Plover* screen; windier conditions result in less bias compared to the standard RTD. Wind speed at 10 m above the ground below 5 m/s cause the *Plover* RTD to have an average bias of 0.4487 ± 0.0169 °C, between 5 and 10 m/s the average bias is 0.2779 ± 0.0102 °C, and at wind speeds between 10 and 15 m/s the average bias is 0.2791 ± 0.0165 °C (Figure 5a). Figure 5b makes evident that as wind speed at 10 m increases, the *Plover* RTD performs more like the exposed RTD. At wind speeds of ~8 m/s and greater, temperature difference between the two RTDs is not more than .5 °C.



Figure 6a (left): Average temperature difference at different atmospheric pressure intervals (Error bars = standard error) **Figure 6b** (right): Temperature difference (°C) of Plover RTD and RTD 2m against Atmospheric Pressure (mb) **Table 2 (bottom):** Absolute average temperature between the exposed and *Plover* RTD inaccuracies at different atmospheric pressures at 10 m.

As atmospheric pressure increases the bias also increases. When exposed to atmospheric pressures between 980 and 990 mb, the observed bias was 0.3188 ± 0.0622 °C. At atmospheric pressures between 1030 and 1040 mb, the variation of the temperature between the two RTDs was on average between 0.4647 ± 0.1260 °C (Figure 6a).From Figure 6b, it can be noted that as atmospheric pressure increases, bias of the *Plover* screen generally increases.



Figure 7a (left): Average temperature difference at different actual temperature intervals (Error bars = standard error) **Figure 7b (right):** Temperature difference (°C) of Plover RTD and RTD 2m against actual temperature (°C) **Table 3 (bottom):** Absolute average temperature between the exposed and *Plover* RTD inaccuracies at different actual temperatures.

Screen bias decreases as temperature increases. Exposure to temperatures below -30 °C causes a significant average bias of 0.5094±0.0518 °C between the *Plover* RTD and exposed RTD. When the air temperature is greater than 10 °C, the average temperature difference is 0.2905±0.0364 °C (Figure 7a).Figure 7bshows that the *Plover* RTD measuring a higher average temperature greater than 0.5 °C than the exposed RTD happens more often than the *Plover* RTD measuring a lower average air temperature greater than 0.5 °C than the exposed RTD.



Figure 8: Plot of temperature difference of Plover RTD and RTD 2m for 2 years (2012-2013) by Julian day

From April to August, the observed temperatures are higher than the actual temperatures, and from August to April, the observed temperatures are lower than the actual temperatures. When time, in months, and temperature, in degrees Celsius, is graphed on the x and y-axes respectively, the resulting graph resembles a negative sine graph (Figure 8). This shows that from August to April, and from April to August, the temperature accuracy generally decreases up until the month of June and January respectively where the temperature accuracy beings to generally increase.

4. Discussion

Previous studies have shown that screen bias is related to the time of the year when data of the instrument within the thermometer screen are being recorded (Böhm et al., 2009, Nordli et al., 1997). Results from this study agree with those of Böhm et al. in that temperatures recorded in the summer half of the year were biased warm and temperatures recorded in the winter half were biased cold. This effect can be explained by how in places north of the Arctic Circle, the sun is visible for the full 24 hours of the day during the summer months and the sun cannot be seen for the entire day during winter months. The constant or lack of sun exposure introduces a heating or cooling element respectively within the screen caused by the thermal radiation of the sun.

It is important to verify all conditions that may induce bias that may result in unreliable analyses. The results of this study show that low wind speed and high atmospheric pressure (typical of calm settled weather and peak radiation) increase the bias of a non-aspirated thermometer screen relative to an aspirated one. Given that each thermometer screen design will have its own unique biases, future studies develop bias assessments over a range of meteorological conditions There are limiting factors in this study. The original thermometer screen was not able to be utilized for this study; the replica screen was built as close to the original screen design as possible, but differences in the type of metal, paint, and attachment points among the screen, the inner RTD tube, and the Observatory instrument mast may have induced biases not found in the original setup. Most importantly, it would have been ideal to simultaneously test the *Plover* screen against multiple modern types, including a Stevenson screen and other non-aspirated designs. However, the results obtained are almost certainly worst-case: the relative screen bias compared to other non-aspirated screens is likely less in all conditions than observed here. Despite the limitations, the results of this study demonstrate that replica screens can be compared with modern installations to derive useful insight and the information needed to derive correction factors. Instead of being further neglected, these valuable sources of data can be utilized to their full potential if the bias of the measuring apparatus is properly determined. Given reliable metadata, bias estimates needed to compare historical and modern data can be deduced.

5. Conclusions and Future Work

The experimental assessment of the *Plover* radiation screen demonstrated that under certain meteorological conditions, screen bias can reach 2°C, a significant amount in the context of climate change and global warming. To illustrate, published studies demonstrate only maximum temperature anomalies of 2.5°C over a decade in certain locations of the world (Voiland, 2009). Therefore, if uncorrected, screen bias can heavily distort the results of studies comparing modern with historical air temperature data by falsely portraying global warming or cooling.

It should be highlighted that this study analyzed only daily mean data calculated from hourly data. The screen effect on temperature maxima and minima remains to be investigated, and the potential effects of thermal lag associated with the Arctic seasonal cycle needs to be analyzed in greater detail.

The results from this study show that historical data like that collected by the officers of the HMS *Plover* can be used to investigate Arctic climate change, given careful assessment of metadata and application of resulting correction factors.

References

Böhm, R., Jones, P. D., Hiebl, J., Frank, D., Brunetti, M., & Maugeri, M. (2010). The early instrumental warm-bias: a solution for long central European temperature series 1760 2007. *Climatic Change*, *101*(1-2), 41-67.

- Brohan, P., Allan, R., Freeman, J. E., Waple, A. M., Wheeler, D., Wilkinson, C., & Woodruff, S. (2009). Marine observations of old weather. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *90*(2), 219-230.
- Brunet, M., Asin, J., Sigró, J., Bañón, M., García, F., Aguilar, E., ... & Jones, P. (2011). The minimization of the screen bias from ancient Western Mediterranean air temperature records: an exploratory statistical analysis.*International Journal of Climatology*, *31*(12), 1879-1895.
- Carmack, E. C., & Kulikov, E. A. (1998). Wind-forced upwelling and internal Kelvin wave generation in Mackenzie Canyon, Beaufort Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* (1978–2012), 103(C9), 18447-18458.
- Lindsay, R. W., Zhang, J., Schweiger, A., Steele, M., & Stern, H. (2009). Arctic sea ice retreat in 2007 follows thinning trend. *Journal of Climate*, 22(1), 165-176.
- Maguire, R. (1988). The journal of Rochfort Maguire, 1852-1854: two years at Point Barrow, Alaska, aboard HMS Plover in the search for Sir John Franklin(No. 169). Hakluyt society.
- Nordli, Ø., Alexandersson, H., Frich, P., Førland, E. J., Heino, R., Jonsson, T., ... & Tveito, O. E. (1997). The effect of radiation screens on Nordic time series of mean temperature. *International journal of climatology*, *17*(15), 1667-1681.
- Rothrock, D. A., Yu, Y., & Maykut, G. A. (1999). Thinning of the Arctic sea-ice cover. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26(23), 3469-3472.
- Streever, B., Suydam, R., Payne, J. F., Shuchman, R., Angliss, R. P., Balogh, G., ... & Yokel, D. (2011). Environmental change and potential impacts: applied research priorities for Alaska's North Slope. *Arctic*, *64*(3), 390-397.
- Serreze, M. C., & Barry, R. G. (2005). *The Arctic climate system* (Vol. 22). Cambridge University Press.
- Steele, M., Ermold, W., & Zhang, J. (2008). Arctic Ocean surface warming trends over the past 100 years. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(2).
- Vincent, L. A., & Gullett, D. W. (1999). Canadian historical and homogeneous temperature datasets for climate change analyses. *International Journal of Climatology*, *19*(12), 1375-1388.
- Voiland, A. (2009). Second Warmest Year on Record; End of Warmest Decade.*NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies*. Accessed May, 6, 2011.